



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/259,852	03/01/1999	MITCHELL A. MARKOW	P98-2401	5769
7590 11/17/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael G. Fletcher			MEI, XU	
Fletcher, Yoder	& Van Someren			
P.O. Box 692289			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77269-2289			2644	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	Application No.	Applic	ant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/259,852	MARK	MARKOW ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Un	it	
	>	Xu Mei	2644		
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appea	ars on the cover s	heet with the correspo	ndence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM To Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thing If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxing Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DAT visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a s communication. num statutory period will a treply will, by statute, ca onths after the mailing da	E OF THIS CON a). In no event, howeve apply and will expire SIX ause the application to be	MUNICATION. Tr., may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S	g date of this communication. .C. § 133).	
Status					
 Responsive to communication(2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the property of the pro	2b)⊠ This ad lition for allowance	ction is non-final. e except for form	•		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-38</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10,12-16,18-23 ar</u> 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,11 and 17</u> is/are obj 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to r	_ is/are withdrawn ad 39-44 is/are reju ected to.	ected.	-		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object	s/are: a) accept objection to the dra luding the correction	awing(s) be held in n is required if the o	abeyance. See 37 CFI drawing(s) is objected to	R 1.85(a). b. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cap in the property of the certified copies of the property of the property of the certified copies of the property of	of: iority documents h iority documents h pies of the priority national Bureau (nave been receiv nave been receiv y documents hav PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No. e been received in thi)).	·	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1- Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) <u> </u>	terview Summary (PTO-41 uper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Ap her:		

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is responsive to the applicant's response dated 06/13/2005.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 06/13/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-44 have been fully considered and are deemed persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Constien (US-6,259,932).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5, 8-10, 13, 14, 16, 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Constien (US-6,259,932).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Constien discloses a computer system (see Figs 3-4), comprising: a chassis (housing/member 2) that encloses at least one microprocessor (personal computer, col. 6, lines 14-16), the chassis or member having a rear wall (rear panel of member 2) which faces away from a user during normal use; and an elector-acoustic transducer or driver (speaker 6) mounted in the chassis and wherein the speaker is mounted to the rear wall (see col. 3, lines 42-48 and col. 6, lines 13-14). The personal computer of member 2 would have inherently including a 'sound card' for processing input and output audio signals.

Regarding claim 10, the personal computer of 2 would have inherently including RAM for read/write functioning of the microprocessor.

Regarding claims 3 and 14, see speaker 6 of Fig. 4.

Regarding claims 5 and 13, the acoustic speaker 6 output signals would inherently including wall-effect for providing acoustic spatial impression when the computer system is being used inside a room has walls.

Regarding claim 9, the speaker of member 1 can be read on as an external speaker that is in connection with computer member 2 (col. 7, lines 41-44).

Claims 16, 18 and 23 are similar to claims 1, 3 and 5
except for being couched in method terminology; such methods
would be inherent when the structure is shown in the references.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constien as discussed in claim 1 above, in view of Koyama et al (US-5,581,621).

Regarding claims 20-21, the computer system of Constien does not specifically including an equalizer and gain staging for the computer system. Koyama discloses a programmable equalizer for automatically adjusting the frequency response and amplifier gain (i.e., gain staging) of an audio system by divides an audio signal into number of frequency bands and selectively amplifies and attenuates each frequency band to achieve a desired sound quality (see Fig. 2, element 21 and col.

- 1, lines 31-44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further combines the teachings of Su and Sugimura and Koyama to includes an programmable equalizer for automatically adjusting the frequency response and amplifier gain by divides an audio signal into number of frequency bands and selectively amplifies and attenuates each frequency band to achieve a desired sound quality for the computer system.
- 7. Claims 7, 15 and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constien as discussed in claim 1 above, in view of well known prior art.

Regarding claims 7, 15 and 39-43, the computer system of Constien does not specifically including a long throw speaker or driver has a throw length greater than 10 percent of its minimum cone diameter. However, long throw speaker or driver is old and well known in the audio art for providing high quality audio output for high frequency audio signals with specific defined throw length of the speaker or driver. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilizes an old and well known long throw speaker or driver for the computer system of Constien in order to providing high output for high frequency audio output signals.

8. Claims 6, 12, 19 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constien as discussed in claims 1, 10, 16 and 39 above, in view of well known prior art.

Regarding claims 6, 12, 19 and 44, Constien does not specific mentioned the speaker or driver has a specific 'quality factor' in the range of 0.65 to 0.8 as claimed. However, such claimed speaker or driver quality factor is notoriously well known in the art of speaker and circuit design for the purpose of obtaining desired quality sound/audio output. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to design and provide speaker having specific quality factor for the speaker or drive as shown by Constien in order to generate high quality audio output.

9. Claims 4 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constien as discussed in claims 1 and 16 above, in view of Burleson et al (US-6,522,763).

Regarding claims 4 and 22, Constien does not specific disclose the computer member 2 including the front all having perforations for additional acoustically leaky. However, it is old and well known in the art that computer housing including frontal perforations for acoustic output improvement. Burleson in Figure 1 discloses a personal computer housing including

Application/Control Number: 09/259,852

Art Unit: 2644

frontal perforations for acoustic output improvement. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the PC member 2 of Constien by including frontal perforations as shown by Burleson, in order to provide improved acoustic output for the computer system.

- 10. Claims 2, 11 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 24-38 are allowed.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xu Mei whose telephone number is 571-272-7523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

_

Xu Mei Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2644 11/12/2005